Posterior Bone-graft Options and Success in Single-Level Circumferential Lumbar Fusions

Glenn R. Buttermann, MD





Disclosures:

Glenn Buttermann, MD: Consultant: Dio Medical Licensing Agreement: FG Solco



Introduction

- Achieving spinal fusion requires bone graft and is most reliable using an anterior/posterior technique.
- The bone graft for the posterior fusion may come from a variety of sources.
- IBG, but this may result in additional postoperative pain.
- Alternatives are currently the most common form of bone graft for PSF.
- Lack of knowledge as to the superiority of one alternative over another.

Study Purpose

To determine the ability to achieve a solid PSF using sources of bone graft from 6 sources:

- IBG
- Bone morphogenic protein (BMP)
- Stem cells derived from:
 - Autologous bone marrow aspirate (BMA, concentrated)
 - Allograft bone
 - Allograft fat
 - Allograft amniotic membrane/fluid.



Methods

- Randomized, prospective, single blinded.
- 27 patients each group*, single level DDD.
- Outcomes:
 - LBP & leg VAS
 - Pain Drawing
 - -ODI
 - Procedure success
 - Pain meds
- Follow-up: >2 yr.



Methods

- All patients had ASF with BMP (small).
- All patients had anterior plate and posterior facet screw instrumentation.
- PSF: 5cc of study "graft" + local bone graft.
- High resolution CT scans at 1 yr post-op.
- Costs related to bone graft.
- 15% to 33% had prior decompressions.





Results

- Average age 52 yrs old.
- 54% females.
- Fat allograft stem-cell "graft" withdrawn from market.
- 41% smokers.
- 15% to 33% (24% mean) had prior decompressions.
- 40% concurrent decompressions.
- 38% concurrent adjacent level disc dehydration.

Results – CT scans



Bone *allograft* derived STEM cell product

Results – CT scans

Pseudarthrosis rates:

- IBG = 2%
- BMP = 7%
- Stem cell, BMA concentrate = 16%
- Stem cell, Allograft bone = 30%
- Stem cell, Allograft fat = 36%
- Stem cell, Allograft amniotic fluid = 38%

• Anterior = 2/149 (1.3 %)

Results – Costs

- IBG = \$0 + time
- BMP = \$3451 !!
- Stem cell, BMA concentrate = \$1500 (centrifuge disposables) + \$160 (allo chips)
- Stem cell, Allograft bone = \$2727
- Stem cell, Allograft fat = \$2768
- Stem cell, Amniotic fluid/membrane = \$2056 + \$160 (allo chips)

LBP Outcomes

Low Back Pain VAS



All groups had significant improvement postop but no difference between groups

Leg Pain Outcomes

Leg Pain VAS



All groups had significant improvement postop but no difference between groups

Pain Drawing Outcomes



All groups had significant improvement postop but no difference between groups

Disability Outcomes



All groups had significant improvement postop but no difference between groups

Pain Med Usage

Preop:

 - 55% NSAIDS
 - 62% opioids

1 yr Postop:
 - 34% NSAIDS
 - 26% opioids



Treatment Success

• Succesful:

-91%

 Would do again : - 84%

 Would recommend to others: - 87%



Limitations

- Confounding effects
 - Adjacent DDD, SI joint, hip
 - Osteoporosis
 - Variable opioid tolerance, greater than prior study 10+ years ago
- Fusion rate of *allograft chips only* not studied prospectively

Discussion - Conclusions

- IBG and BMP have high fusion rates.
- Stem cell *auto*graft (BMA) has acceptable fusion rate.
- Stem cell *allograft* products need enhancement.
- BMP is most expensive.
- Cost/benefit of stem cell allograft products is high.

